

E-mail to:- Saul. Lehrfreund @ smab. co. uk
cc. Julian Knowles @ matrixlaw. co. uk
cc. andrew pilgrimlaw @ gmail. com
c.c. alameiltaylor @ hotmail. co. uk

rec'd
15-3-13

Communication number SJ/40/6th March 2013. six pages

Dear Saul,

I hope that you and the team are all well. I was taken from the prison to court yesterday morning without notice.

In court it seemed to be an application from FCIB asking the court to approve a sale of Rawlin's Plantation having recently been given approval to sell it for a low figure. (This ^{approval} must have been the "service" that I refused on 10th January)

I recognised the lawyer acting for FCIB. He is a friend of Zai's (my ex-girl friend). It appears that she is abetting a certain "someone" (see MA/45/1st March) to acquire my property at a price vastly below its true value.

It seemed yesterday that ^{the} court had insisted that I be fetched to hear the application. However I was not given any warrant or told what was happening.

When I previously (in January) asked Miss Inniss to look up the case number in the court registry and find out what was going on from their file, she told me that she had sent her staff to the court to investigate, but the court had told them that the file "could not be found". She did

SJ/40 Page One of Six

Undertake to speak to the firm of lawyers who are acting for FCIB to find out what was happening and to point out that my right to defend the matter was being denied. (But of course they knew that - that is their intention). Anyhow Miss Inniss went AWOL so I heard nothing until yesterday.

After appearances were noted, I pointed out to the court that I had been given no notice of the application today and also that my Civil Right of defense was being compromised by my denial of access to documents in my office with which to prepare a defense brief for my civil lawyers and that I had also been denied, by the prison, my Civil Right of attendance at court to defend the previous application.

I further pointed out that this whole scenario had happened before on two previous occasions during my incarceration. Once with respect to my hotel The Golden Lemon Inn + Villas and also with respect to two plots of land at Rawlins Plantation. And that now, the former had been misappropriated and the latter resulted in a default judgement against me personally.

I went on to say that I had reported the situation to the British Foreign Office and that I had refused all services

on this matter because I was not being given the right to defend them. I only get brought to court to hear judgements or subsequent applications for costs.

It was stated that the court had on file an affidavit from a process server, stating that he had served me a previous application on 10th January which resulted in a judgement on 15th January, in respect of this matter.

I informed the court that on 9th January the server had tried to serve me but that I had refused to take the documents on Civil Rights grounds, or to sign for them, even though senior prison staff were threatening me with punishment. The server went away and returned the next day 10th January. I was again taken from the cell and brought to the Gate Office to be served. The process server said that the Attorney General, Jason Hamilton, had directed that it was permitted for the documents to be forcibly served on me even if I was then being refused access to defense documents, legal council and court attendance. I still refused to accept the service or to sign, even under further threats from senior prison staff.

The court "Master" (a sort of lay judge I think?) then asked me what became of all the documents.?

I informed her that the process server threw them on

SJ/40 Page Three of Six

the floor and said that the matter would go ahead on the 15th January anyway. The senior prison guard told me to pick them up. I declined. He said that, in that case they would go in the bin. I was then taken back to cell 26.

"So as far as I know Master, they went in the bin," I said. The Master rolled her eyes and was seemingly indifferent.

The opposition lawyer then stated that as a prisoner I was not entitled to leave my cell or access documents for civil matters and that it "beggared belief" that I should impune to refuse service. He scoffed at the notion that the British Consul or F.O. was of any consequence.

I responded that I was an innocent man on remand for a crime that I did not do. Notwithstanding this I am treated as a convict and locked up with convicts. I pointed out that I was being oppressed and in fact "gagged" and my Civil Rights abused for the purpose of misappropriation of my property at a price dramatically below its true value. And that it was this abuse that "beggared belief". The lawyer was furious.

I then stated to the court that I considered what was happening was immoral and that the Attorney General was in any event conflicted because it was his firm who had forcedly served me with the previous matter in

SJ/40 Page Four of Six

respect of my hotel The Golden Lemon. The Master was seemingly indifferent.

I asked the Master to record all that I had said for the record. She said that she had taken notes, although I am concerned that when the opposition lawyer spoke, she typed what he said, word for word, and yet much less so, when I was speaking.

The outcome was that the Master said that she felt that she had to adjourn the matter until 9th April, because it had been necessary to tell the prison to bring me "on the spot" and clearly I had "not" been given notice of the application todayst. The opposition lawyer asked that this be deemed notice for next time, so that the matter could be concluded on that date. She agreed.

I asked if I could make a verbal application, here and now for a stay of these proceedings until my Civil Right of defense was respected. The Master said, "no", any application must be made in writing by a lawyer.

I asked that she direct that I should be allowed access to documents in my office to prove the under valuation and also ~~me~~ free access to use telephone and fax to contact my existing legal council Calverts in London, so as to be able to formulate a response and defense to the application.

SJ/40 Page Five of Six

The Master said that she had no jurisdiction to do so.
I stated that, in that case, I had no way to defend myself.
She said that I should try "to do something" and refused
to speak further on the issue.

It is clear to me that if I do not have real support from
the Foreign Office and an effective application and defense
from a lawyer who I can rely on, and have with, then
I will lose Rawlins Plantation on 9th April.

Saul, at our ~~first~~ first meeting with yourself and Parvais, you
mentioned a civil lawyer who you said was "one of the
best in the Caribbean". Please could you remind me of his
name, (because I gave all of last year's (my daily diary) notes to
Andrew in December to hold safe).

I would like my dear friends Melnie Taylor in UK and Paul Aparo
in USA (Paul is an Attorney) to contact this Caribbean lawyer to
represent Rawlins under the leadership of Julian, if Julian will
be so kind as to accept my recent request (JK/1/1st March)
to act separately for me in this matter. Obviously I will
need to see this regional lawyer asap. I would be
sincerely grateful, subject to Julian's blessing ^{+ approval of him} for your
assistance to facilitate this. It is my last chance Saul.
With respects and regards,

Kevin